Meeting was called to order at approximately 1:30 pm local August 12, 2015.

**Attendees –**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Russ Brudnicki\* | Kyocera |
| Paul Henerlau | Sharp |
| Adam Just\* |  |
| Smith Kennedy\* | HP |
| Dan Manchala\* | Xerox |
| Ira McDonald\* | High North |
| Joe Murdock | Sharp |
| Brian Smithson\* | Ricoh |
| Alan Sukert\* | Xerox |
| Michael Sweet\* | Apple |
| Bill Wagner | TIC |
| Rick Yardumian | Canon |

\*Dial-In

**Agenda Items**

Note: Meeting slides are available at <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/Presentation/ids-f2f-20150812.pdf>.

1. Minute Taker
   1. Alan Sukert taking the minutes
2. Agenda:

* Introductions, Agenda Review, Document Status
* Action Item Review
* Prototype Overview
* HCD-TNC Stable draft WG Review
* TGC Spec Overview/Developments
* Common Criteria Update
* Future of IDS WG
* Next Steps and Wrap-Up

1. Went through the PWG Intellectual Property policy.
2. Action item Review:

* #150 – In process; remain open
* #151 – Closed; completed
* #152 – Closed; out of scope for IDS
* #153 – Closed; Fax-IN is deferred indefinitely by IPP so is out of scope.
* #154 – Closed; completed.

1. HCD-TNC Prototype Overview

Dr. Andreas Steffan of gave a very thorough overview of how he prototyped the HCD-TNC specification using a client server with two HCDs and setting up a TNC tunnel to the HCDs to get the health of the devices. Dr. Steffan’s presentation can be found at <https://wiki.strongswan.org/projects/strongswan/wiki/TrustedNetworkConnect#Hardcopy-Device-Health-Assessment>.

1. HCD-TNC Stable Draft Review

* Changes to the HCD-TNC spec for review were available at <http://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/wd/wd-idstnc10-20150803.pdf>. Ira indicated this was a revision to delete Appendix A because it was outdated and to address inclusion of PA subtypes into the various attributes.

Ira reviewed the changes to the document since the last IDS Face-to-Face using the Change History. There were no comments to the changes and all the changes were approved by the Working Group. As a result the HCD-TNC immediately went into PWG “Last Call” in preparation for PWG final approval.

1. TGC Spec Overview/Developments

Ira indicated that he had no changes from the slide presented at the Plenary session on Aug 11th except that the TCG did approve the second version of the TPM 2.0 Mobile Common Profile for public review.

1. Brian Smithson reviewed the latest status of the new HCD Protection Profile (PP) Technical Committee (TC). Only 3 comments are left to be resolved; an updated comment list should be available by the end of the week. The plan now is to publish the new PP on 9/11; the goal is to publish the PP before the start of the International Common Criteria Conference on 9/22.
2. Future of the IDS Working Group

The main discussion at the meeting was on the future of the IDS Working Group. Various people spoke on the issue; the general comments were as follows:

* The original charter of the IDS WG is mostly complete at this point.
* The IDS-Model spec is at a point where it can be transferred to the Semantic Model WG. Dan Manchala indicated that would be acceptable.
* There is no clear way forward for the IDS-IAA spec without descoping it from its current plan, but that requires the technical expertise to work on the spec. There also does not seem to have much need for this spec in the first place by the PWG. It was also pointed out that the PWG can leverage work in this area being done by other standards bodies such as IETF if such a spec is needed.
* One area that was mentioned that did need work from IDS-IAA was with regard to security tickets. However, the consensus was that we would probably have to build off the work of other standards here.
* The only spec where the group thought that work as needed on was the Health Remediation spec because each vendor’s tie to the TNC framework is different. However, there was no clear consensus on how to go forward with it or what exactly need to be done. In the end the consensus was to archive this spec as is and revisit it when the work on IPP gets to the point where there is a standard protocol to allow health remediation to occur; that work could be taken up by the IPP WG at that time.
* The IDS WG does bring value in that we help to integrate solutions with the rest of the world vs. each vendor having proprietary models for their implementations.
* Several options were offered as to what to do with the IDS WG:
* Dissolve the IDS WG
* Have the IDS WG go into “hibernation”
* Reconstitute the WG to be an “interest group” that exists to review the imaging device security aspects of the work of other standard bodies or of other technical committees.
* Just have regular conference calls so the WG becomes somewhat of a “community of practice”.

It was interesting that no one in the WG wanted the IDS WG to continue in its current format. After discussing the various options the decision was that the IDS WG would go into “hibernation” and be resurrected as needed should work on a spec be required. The IDS WG charter should be changed to reflect this new status and we present the updated status at the next PWG Face-to-Face. We will have a Conference Call to approve the charter update but that would be it until the WG was needed again. As for the specs in process, the resolution would be:

* HCD-TNC – Complete the PWG approval process
* IDS-Model – Transfer to SM WG
* IDS-IAA – Stop work on it.
* Health Remediation – Archive and transfer to IPP WG when work on it is to be resumed.

**Next Steps**

* Next Conference Call August 31, 2015 at 11am PT/ 2 pm ET. Topic will be the charter update to reflect the decision made at this meeting.
* No future IDS Face-to-Face Meeting is planned at this time. The updated IDS WG status wil be presented at the Plenary Session at the next PWG face-to-Face November 3-5, 2015 at Lexmark in Lexington KY.
* Actions:
  1. Al Sukert: Update the IDS Working Group charter to reflect the decision for IDS to go into “hibernation”.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 4:15 pm local on August 12, 2015.