Project of the PWG-IPP Working Group

Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): "finishings" attribute values extension

Draft D0.9
December 15, 2000

ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ipp/new_VAL/pwg-ipp-finishings-fold-trim-bale-001215-rev.doc, .rtf, .pdf

Abstract

This document specifies the additional enum values 'fold', 'trim', 'bale', 'booklet-maker', 'jog-offset', 'bind-left', 'bind-top', 'bind-right', and 'bind-bottom' for the IPP "finishings" Job Template attribute for use with the Internet Printing Protocol/1.0 (IPP) [RFC2566, RFC2565] and Internet Printing Protocol/1.1 (IPP) [RFC2911, RFC2910]. This attribute permits the client to specify additional finishing options, including values that include a specification of a coordinate system for the placement of finishings operation with respect to the corners and edges of portrait and landscape documents.

Title: Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): "finishings" attribute values extension

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 Introduction

1.1 Problem

Need additional enum values for finishing to specify which of four corners to put a single staple, which of four edges to put two staples, which of four edges to bind, and generic values for the following: fold, trim, bale, saddle stitch, edge stitch, signature booklet maker and jog-offset.

1.2 Solution

The coordinate system scheme agrees with the Finisher MIB which in turn follows the ISO DPA approach of using a coordinate system as if the document were portrait. The approach for coordinate system being relative to the intended reading direction depends on the device being able to understand the orientation embedded in the PDL, which is too problematic for many PDLs. The approach for the coordinate system of being relative to the media feed direction is too dependent on the way the device is currently set up, i.e., pulling short edge first vs. long edge first, and can vary between different output-bins in the same device.

Additional (new) symbolic names of these enum values are:

fold
trim
bale
booklet-maker
jog-offset
bind-left
bind-top
bind-right
bind-bottom

Although not a part of this specification, more specific values for saddle-stitch and fold could be considered once adequate definitions have been developed. Some examples are:

saddle-stitch-single-long
saddle-stitch-single-short
saddle-stitch-dual-long
saddle-stitch-dual-short
fold-in-half-long
fold-in-half-short
fold-in-thirds-long
fold-in-thirds-short
fold-z-long
fold-z-short

2 Complete "finishings" Job Template attribute definition

Note: [RFC2911] defines generic enum values: 3-9 and more-specific stitching and stapling enum values: 20-31. This document defines generic enum values: 10-14 and more specific binding enum values: 50-53. The entire definition of "finishings" from [RFC2911] section 4.2.6 is reproduced here verbatim with the addition of the new enum values for the convenience of the reader.

4.2.6 finishings (1setOf type2 enum)

This attribute identifies the finishing operations that the Printer uses for each copy of each printed document in the Job. For Jobs with multiple documents, the "multiple-document-handling" attribute determines what constitutes a "copy" for purposes of finishing.

Standard enum values are:

Value	Symbolic Name and Description
'3'	'none': Perform no finishing
'4'	'staple': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples. The exact number and placement of the staples is site-defined.
'5'	'punch': This value indicates that holes are required in the finished document. The exact number and placement of the holes is site-defined The punch specification MAY be satisfied (in a site- and implementation-specific manner) either by drilling/punching, or by substituting pre-drilled media.
'6'	'cover': This value is specified when it is desired to select a non-printed (or pre-printed) cover for the document. This does not supplant the specification of a printed cover (on cover stock medium) by the document itself.
'7'	'bind': This value indicates that a binding is to be applied to the document; the type and placement of the binding is site-defined.
'8'	'saddle-stitch': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples (wire stitches) along the middle fold. The exact number and placement of the staples and the middle fold is implementation and/or site-defined.
'9'	'edge-stitch': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples (wire stitches) along one edge. The exact number and placement of the staples is implementation and/or site-defined.
'10'	'fold': Fold the document(s) with one or more folds. The exact number and orientations of the folds is implementation and/or site-defined.
'11'	'trim': Trim the document(s) on one or more edges. The exact number of edges and the amount to be trimmed is implementation and/or site-defined.
'12'	'bale': Bale the document(s). The type of baling is implementation and/or site-defined.
'13'	'booklet-maker': Deliver the document(s) to the signature booklet maker. This value is a short cut for specifying a job that is to be folded, trimmed and then saddle-stitched.
'14'	'jog-offset': Shift each copy of an output document from the previous copy by a small amount which is device dependent. This value has no effect on the "job-sheet". This value SHOULD NOT have an effect if each copy of the job consists of one sheet.
'15'-'19'	reserved for future generic finishing enum values.

The following values are more specific stapling, stitching and binding values; they indicate a corner or an edge as if the document were a portrait document (see section 4.2.6.1):

'20' 'staple-top-left': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples in the top left corner.
'21' 'staple-bottom-left': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples in the bottom left corner.
'22' 'staple-top-right': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples in the top right corner.
'23' 'staple-bottom-right': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples in the bottom right corner.
'24' 'edge-stitch-left': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples (wire stitches) along the left edge. The exact number and placement of the staples is implementation and/or site-defined.
'25' 'edge-stitch-top': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples (wire stitches) along the top edge. The exact number and placement of the staples is implementation and/or site-defined.
'26' 'edge-stitch-right': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples (wire stitches) along the right edge. The exact number and placement of the staples is implementation and/or site-defined.
'27' 'edge-stitch-bottom': Bind the document(s) with one or more staples (wire stitches) along the bottom edge. The exact number and placement of the staples is implementation and/or site-defined.
'28' 'staple-dual-left': Bind the document(s) with two staples (wire stitches) along the left edge assuming a portrait document (see above).
'29' 'staple-dual-top': Bind the document(s) with two staples (wire stitches) along the top edge assuming a portrait document (see above).
'30' 'staple-dual-right': Bind the document(s) with two staples (wire stitches) along the right edge assuming a portrait document (see above).
'31' 'staple-dual-bottom': Bind the document(s) with two staples (wire stitches) along the bottom edge assuming a portrait document (see above).
'32'-'49' reserved for future specific stapling and stitching enum values.

'50' 'bind-left': Bind the document(s) along the left edge; the type of the binding is site-defined.
'51' 'bind-top': Bind the document(s) along the top edge; the type of the binding is site-defined.
'52' 'bind-right': Bind the document(s) along the right edge; the type of the binding is site-defined.
'53' 'bind-bottom': Bind the document(s) along the bottom edge; the type of the binding is site-defined.
'54'-MAX reserved for future specific binding enum values and other groups of enum values, such as folding, trimming, and baling.

The 'staple-xxx' values are specified with respect to the document as if the document were a portrait document. If the document is actually a landscape or a reverse-landscape document, the client supplies the appropriate transformed value. For example, to position a staple in the upper left hand corner of a landscape document when held for reading, the client supplies the 'staple-bottom-left' value (since landscape is defined as a +90 degree rotation of the image with respect to the media from portrait, i.e., anti-clockwise). On the other hand, to position a staple in the upper left hand corner of a reverse-landscape

document when held for reading, the client supplies the ‘staple-top-right’ value (since reverse-landscape is defined as a -90 degree rotation of the image with respect to the media from portrait, i.e., clockwise).

The angle (vertical, horizontal, angled) of each staple with respect to the document depends on the implementation which may in turn depend on the value of the attribute.

Note: The effect of this attribute on jobs with multiple documents is controlled by the “multiple-document-handling” job attribute (section 4.2.4) and the relationship of this attribute and the other attributes that control document processing is described in section 15.3.

If the client supplies a value of ‘none’ along with any other combination of values, it is the same as if only that other combination of values had been supplied (that is the ‘none’ value has no effect).

3 Conformance Requirements

The Printer and client conformance requirements for supporting this attribute are the same as for any Job Template attribute (see [RFC2911]).

4 IANA Considerations

These “finishings” type2 enum attribute values defined in this document will be published by IANA according to the procedures in RFC 2911 [RFC2911] section 6.1 with the following path:

ftp.isi.edu/iana/assignments/ipp/attribute-values/finishings/

The registry entry will contain the following information:

```
Reference:
ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ipp/new_VAL/pwg-ipp-finishings-fold-trim-
bale.pdf
Section 2

Additional type2 enum values for "finishings":          Value:
fold                                                    10
trim                                                    11
bale                                                    12
booklet-maker                                           13
jog-offset                                              14

bind-left                                               50
bind-top                                                51
bind-right                                              52
bind-bottom                                             53
```


5 Internationalization Considerations

Normally, a client will provide localization of the enum values of this attribute to the language of the user.

6 Security Considerations

This extension poses no additional security threats or burdens than those in IPP/1.0 [RFC2566, RFC2565] and IPP/1.1 [RFC2911, RFC2910]. However, implementations MAY support different access control to various finishing features, depending on the identity of the job submitting user.

7 References

[ipp-iig]
Hastings, T., Manros, C., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: <draft-ietf-ipp-implementers-guide-v11-01.txt>, work in progress, May 30, 2000.

[RFC2565]
Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P., Tuner, R., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Encoding and Transport", RFC 2565, April 1999.

[RFC2566]
R. deBry, T. Hastings, R. Herriot, S. Isaacson, P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics", RFC 2566, April 1999.

[RFC2567]
Wright, D., "Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol", RFC 2567, April 1999.

[RFC2568]
Zilles, S., "Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol", RFC 2568, April 1999.

[RFC2569]
Herriot, R., Hastings, T., Jacobs, N., Martin, J., "Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols", RFC 2569, April 1999.

[RFC2639]
Hastings, T., Manros, C., "Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Implementer's Guide", RFC 2639, July 1999.

[RFC2910]
Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P., Turner, R., and J. Wenn, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport", RFC 2910, September 2000.

[RFC2911]
Hastings, T., Herriot, R., deBry, R., Isaacson, S., and P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics", RFC 2911, September 2000.

8 Author's Addresses

Tom Hastings
Xerox Corporation
737 Hawaii St. ESAE 231
El Segundo, CA 90245

Phone: 310-333-6413
Fax: 310-333-5514
e-mail: hastings@cp10.es.xerox.com

Don Fullman
Xerox Corporation
737 Hawaii St. ESAE 231
El Segundo, CA 90245

Phone: 310-333-8342
Fax: 310-333-5514
e-mail: dfullman@cp10.es.xerox.com

IPP Web Page: http://www.pwg.org/ipp/
IPP Mailing List: ipp@pwg.org

To subscribe to the ipp mailing list, send the following email:
1) send it to majordomo@pwg.org
2) leave the subject line blank
3) put the following two lines in the message body:
subscribe ipp
end

Implementers of this specification document are encouraged to join IPP Mailing List in order to participate in any discussions of clarification issues and review of registration proposals for additional attributes and values.

Other Participants:

Ron Bergman - Hitachi Koki Imaging Systems
Weihai Chen - Microsoft
Satoshi Fujitani - Ricoh
Tom Hastings - Xerox
David Kellerman - Northlake Software
Harry Lewis - IBM
Satoshi Matsushita - Brother
Paul Moore - Netreon
Dan Calle - Digital Paper
Lee Farrell - Canon Information Systems
Roelof Hamberg - Océ
Bob Herriot - Xerox
Carl Kugler - IBM
Carl-Uno Manros - Xerox
Ira McDonald - High North Inc.
Hugo Parra, Novell

Stuart Rowley - Kyocera
Geoff Sorod - Software 2000
Shinichi Tsuruyama - Epson
Shigeru Ueda - Canon
Mark Vander Wiele - IBM
Michael Wu - Heidelberg Digital
Gail Songer - Netreon
Jerry Thrasher - Lexmark
Atsushi Uchino - Epson
William Wagner - NetSilicon/DPI
Don Wright - Lexmark
Peter Zehler - Xerox

9 Appendix A: Summary of other IPP documents

The full set of IPP documents includes:

Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol [RFC2567]
Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol [RFC2568]
Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics [RFC2911]
Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport [RFC2910]
Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide [IPP-IIG]
Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols [RFC2569]

The "Design Goals for an Internet Printing Protocol" document takes a broad look at distributed printing functionality, and it enumerates real-life scenarios that help to clarify the features that need to be included in a printing protocol for the Internet. It identifies requirements for three types of users: end users, operators, and administrators. It calls out a subset of end user requirements that are satisfied in IPP/1.0. A few OPTIONAL operator operations have been added to IPP/1.1.

The "Rationale for the Structure and Model and Protocol for the Internet Printing Protocol" document describes IPP from a high level view, defines a roadmap for the various documents that form the suite of IPP specification documents, and gives background and rationale for the IETF working group's major decisions.

The "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport" document is a formal mapping of the abstract operations and attributes defined in the model document onto HTTP/1.1 [RFC2616]. It defines the encoding rules for a new Internet MIME media type called "application/ipp". This document also defines the rules for transporting over HTTP a message body whose Content-Type is "application/ipp". This document defines a new scheme named 'ipp' for identifying IPP printers and jobs.

The "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide" document gives insight and advice to implementers of IPP clients and IPP objects. It is intended to help them understand IPP/1.1 and some of the considerations that may assist them in the design of their client and/or IPP object implementations. For example, a typical order of processing requests is given, including error checking. Motivation for some of the specification decisions is also included.

The "Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols" document gives some advice to implementers of gateways between IPP and LPD (Line Printer Daemon) implementations.

10 Appendix B: Description of the IEEE Industry Standards and Technology (ISTO)

The IEEE-ISTO is a not-for-profit corporation offering industry groups an innovative and flexible operational forum and support services. The IEEE-ISTO provides a forum not only to develop standards, but also to facilitate activities that support the implementation and acceptance of standards in the marketplace. The organization is affiliated with the IEEE (http://www.ieee.org/) and the IEEE Standards Association (http://standards.ieee.org/).

For additional information regarding the IEEE-ISTO and its industry programs visit:

http://www.ieee-isto.org

11 Appendix C: Description of the IEEE-ISTO PWG

The Printer Working Group (or PWG) is a Program of the IEEE Industry Standards and Technology Organization (ISTO) with member organizations including printer manufacturers, print server developers, operating system providers, network operating systems providers, network connectivity vendors, and print management application developers. The group is chartered to make printers and the applications and operating systems supporting them work together better. All references to the PWG in this document implicitly mean "The Printer Working Group, a Program of the IEEE ISTO." In order to meet this objective, the PWG will document the results of their work as open standards that define print related protocols, interfaces, procedures and conventions. Printer manufacturers and vendors of printer related software will benefit from the interoperability provided by voluntary conformance to these standards.

In general, a PWG standard is a specification that is stable, well understood, and is technically competent, has multiple, independent and interoperable implementations with substantial operational experience, and enjoys significant public support.

For additional information regarding the Printer Working Group visit:

http://www.pwg.org

