

1 **PWG MFD Working Group Teleconference Meeting Minutes**  
2 **July 22, 2010**  
3

4 **Attendees:**

5 Nancy Chen, Oki Data  
6 Jerry Thrasher, Lexmark  
7 Bill Wagner, TIC  
8 Peter Zehler Xerox  
9

10 **1. Identify Minute Taker – Nancy Chen**  
11

12 **2. PWG process**

13 Attendees were informed that the meeting is held in accord with the PWG Intellectual  
14 Property Policy. There was no objection.  
15

16 **3. Approval of minutes**

17 The last PWG face-to-face meeting minutes: <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/mfd/minutes/pwg-ftf-mfd-minutes-20100715.pdf>  
18 was accepted without change.  
19

20 **4. Agenda**

- 21 1. Identify Minute Taker
- 22 2. Approval of minutes from last meeting  
23 <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/mfd/minutes/pwg-ftf-mfd-minutes-20100715.pdf>
- 24 3. Agenda bashing
- 25 4. Plan agenda for upcoming Face to Face meeting
- 26 5. Discuss MFD Requirements document Last Call Comments (including  
27 Internationalization and Security)  
28 <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/mfd/wd/lcrc-mfdreq10-20100722.pdf>
- 29 6. Discuss Copy Service Last Call Comments  
30 <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/mfd/wd/lcrc-mfdreq10-20100720.pdf>
- 31 7. Next steps  
32

33 **5. Discuss Agenda for Upcoming Face-to-Face Meeting**

- 34 • We agreed to the MFD day will be divided into three 2-hour sessions:  
35 Session 1: Requirement document Last Call comment review and Overall spec review  
36 and discussion  
37 Session 2: Walk through FaxIn lifecycle of jobs for FaxIn Service in details. Review and  
38 discuss working group Last Call comments for Copy Service and FaxOut Service  
39 specification.  
40 Session 3: Review and discuss changes to MFD model from new requirements from  
41 Cloud Printing – geo-location and UUID. Review System Model and Service  
42 Schema, added changes to System from IDS working group's HCD Health  
43 Attributes requirements.  
44

45 **6. Discuss MFD Requirements Document last Call Comments**

- 46 • Bill reviewed comments and ported that all comments are incorporated into the new  
47 revised version: <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/mfd/wd/lcrc-mfdreq10-20100722.pdf> , except  
48 that <service> applied only where Service was part of a term such as "Service Job  
49 Ticket" that becomes <service> Job Ticket. "The Service scans the first sheet." Stays  
50 unchanged.
- 51 • Bill will fix the placement of "Job Originator" in the right ordering in the terminology  
52 list.
- 53 • We agreed to all updates made.
- 54 • Bill will ready the Requirement document for PWG final vote.

## 55 56 **7. Discuss Copy Service Last Call Comments**

- 57 • Terminology – Pete will refer all terms used to the Overall document and add notes to  
58 describe how the terms are mapped to their corresponding XML Schema elements.
- 59 • Section 6 –
  - 60 ○ Agreed to change "The PWG Semantic Model" to "MFD System"
  - 61 ○ Pete will reference the Overall document for the rationale for the statement: "Each  
62 job has exactly zero document."
- 63 • Section 7.3.3 –  
64 Line 488-489 - Elements of CopyJobProcessing that are outside the yellow  
65 box are said taken from PrintJobProcessing and are described in the MFD  
66 Overall document, but such descriptions do not exist in the Overall  
67 document yet.
  - 68 ○ The Overall document has description for the Elements of Imaging Service Job  
69 Processing, but not the common elements for MFD service Job Processing.
  - 70 ○ AI: Bill to add in Overall document the description of these common Job  
71 Processing elements for MFD services.
- 72 • Section 10.2.1
  - 73 ○ The conformance statement will be clarified to state that "Conforming  
74 implementations MUST implement all of the model objects and their containing  
75 mandatory elements as defined in this specification in the indicated sections:"  
76 The two Copy Service model objects MUST be implemented are Copy Service  
77 and Copy Job.
  - 78 ○ Section number for Copy Job will be fixed as Section 7.
- 79 • Security Consideration Section
  - 80 ○ Pete rejected the comments and no consensus was reached for the final resolution  
81 for both subsections.
  - 82 ○ A possible resolution considered at the meeting is to simply reference the Overall  
83 document about the protection document data, and consider the roles of  
84 administrator, user, and document owner for security aspects of operations in  
85 general. For Copy Service specifics, simply mentions that Copy Service model  
86 does not expose digital document data for access, but the internal digital  
87 document data may exist and should be protected.
  - 88 ○ This issue will be further discussed in the upcoming face-to-face meeting when  
89 there are more participants present.

## 90 91 **8. Next Steps**

92  
93  
94

- Next meeting is the Face-to-Face meeting on August 3, 2010 in Bagsværd, Denmark (hosted by MPI Tech). It begins at 12:30PM CEDT and is scheduled to end by 6:30PM CEDT. That's 6:30AM-12:30PM EDT, and 3:30AM-9:30AM PDT.